The Impact of the Aboriginal Head Start in Urban and Northern Communities (AHSUNC) Program on School Readiness Skills

In a study conducted by the Public Health Agency of Canada (PHAC) during the 2010-2011 school year, the Aboriginal Head Start in Urban and Northern Communities (AHSUNC) Program was found to have a positive impact on school readiness skills.

Skills were measured at the beginning and at the end of the school year and participants showed significant improvement in all three skill areas assessed: language, motor and academic skills.

What is school readiness?

• School readiness can be defined as a child’s ability to learn at school and to meet the task demands of school.1

• It is a holistic concept that includes several areas of child development: physical health and wellbeing, social competence, emotional maturity, language and cognitive development, communication skills, and general knowledge.2

• Beginning school ready to learn and healthy is greatly influenced by a child’s first five years of life and, in turn, influences future educational achievement and health throughout life.3

The Aboriginal Head Start in Urban and Northern Communities (AHSUNC) Program

• The AHSUNC program is a national community-based program funded by the Public Health Agency of Canada (PHAC).

• Introduced in 1995, AHSUNC focuses on providing culturally-appropriate early childhood development programs for First Nations, Inuit and Métis children, and their families, living off-reserve in urban and northern communities.

• More than 4,600 children and their families participate in the program every year, at one of the 128 program sites across Canada.

• AHSUNC sites are locally managed and activities are locally designed to allow for flexibility in addressing the unique needs of each community.

• Sites typically provide structured half-day preschool programming for children 3 to 5 years of age.

• The AHSUNC program focuses on six key areas: Aboriginal culture and language, education and school readiness, health promotion, nutrition, social support, and parental involvement.
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What is the AHSUNC School Readiness Study?

• The AHSUNC School Readiness Study was conducted by PHAC to examine the impact of the AHSUNC program on school readiness skills. It focused on three key areas of school readiness: language, motor and academic skills.

• The skills of participants 3 to 5 years of age were assessed by their local AHSUNC teachers at the beginning (Fall 2010) and again at the end (Spring 2011) of the school year.

• Participation in this study was voluntary and parental consent was required for a child to participate. Guidelines ensured that no information identifying the children was shared and ID numbers were used to protect the anonymity of participants.

How were school readiness skills measured?

• School readiness skills were measured using the Brigance Head Start Screen, a tool used to assess three key areas of child development: language, motor and academic skills.

• The screen consists of a series of questions that are asked to each child individually. Depending on the question, the child is asked to provide a verbal or written answer, or attempt a certain task. A different age-specific screen was used for 3, 4 and 5-year-olds.

• To take into account the cultural context of the AHSUNC population, certain adaptations were made to the administration of the Brigance screen, including, for example, accepting a different word when asking the child to identify a picture (e.g., for a picture of a boat, the word canoe was acceptable, as was any other local word typically used for boat).

How many children and program sites participated in the study?

• Over 100 AHSUNC program sites across Canada (approx. 80% of all sites)

• Over 2,300 children in Phase 1

• Over 1,800 children in Phase 2*

• 1,310 participants included in the analyses (those who participated in both phases and met all inclusion criteria), representing approximately 33% of all 3 to 5 year old AHSUNC participants

*Only 3% of the sites who participated in Phase 1 did not participate in Phase 2. Approximately a fifth of the Phase 1 participants did not participate in Phase 2.
What did we learn?

1. Participants showed significant progress in school readiness skills over the course of the school year.

All age groups improved significantly over the course of the year.
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Participants improved significantly in all three skill areas.
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Participants with and without special needs all showed significant improvement.

Although participants with a diagnosed or suspected special need scored significantly lower in all three skill areas in Phase 1 and Phase 2, both groups progressed significantly over the course of the school year.

Children who started out with the lowest levels of school readiness made substantial progress.

Participants with the lowest scores at the beginning of the school year (Phase 1) improved more than participants with higher scores in Phase 1.

Both girls and boys progressed significantly over the course of the school year.

Girls scored slightly higher than boys in Phase 1 and Phase 2; however, both girls and boys progressed significantly over the course of the school year.
2. The AHSUNC program is having a positive impact on school readiness skills

To assess whether the AHSUNC program had an effect on the progress observed or if progress was merely an effect of maturation (i.e., natural child development that would have occurred regardless of AHSUNC participation), two types of comparisons were made:

1. the scores of AHSUNC participants were compared to ‘standard’ scores for children of their age;
2. the scores of new AHSUNC participants at the start of the school year were compared to those of returning AHSUNC participants.

These comparisons both provided evidence that the AHSUNC program is having a positive impact on the school readiness skills of its participants.

When compared to age-specific norms, AHSUNC participants made substantial progress during the school year

- Participants’ scores were compared to age-specific norms, which represent the ‘typical’ performance of children in each of the skill areas.

- The results from this comparison show that AHSUNC participants started out at the beginning of the school year performing below the age-specific norms, and progressed to having scores similar to the norms by the end of the school year.
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Participants who had previously participated in AHSUNC had higher scores at the beginning of the school year

- Participants who had previously attended the AHSUNC program, before the 2010-11 school year, had higher scores in Phase 1 than participants who were in their first year of AHSUNC programming.

- This finding suggests that improvements in school readiness from the previous year of participation in the program were carried over and measurable at the start of the next school year.
In Summary

• The AHSUNC program is successful in producing measurable impacts on children’s school readiness. Over the course of the school year, AHSUNC participants improved significantly in all three skill areas assessed: language, motor and academic skills.

• Although there were some differences in the level of progress achieved by certain groups of children, all groups improved significantly over the course of the school year.

• By helping its participants improve their school readiness skills and be better prepared for school, the AHSUNC program plays an important role in the healthy development, later educational success, and future health outcomes of Aboriginal children.

3. The Brigance Screen was useful for AHSUNC sites and teachers

In addition to supporting program evaluation, the Brigance Screen can be useful for local AHSUNC sites. By assessing a variety of skills, the screen can help teachers identify potential learning challenges, strengths and weaknesses.

It can also help site staff decide if a child should be referred for further assessment or if some participants could benefit from additional support in certain areas.

Finally, the screen can be used to help plan lessons, to measure progress throughout the year, or for discussions with parents and caregivers about their child’s development.

• A majority of sites (67%) found the results from the Brigance Screen useful for organizing their program.

• Sites who felt that the results had been useful indicated that it gave them information on the developmental areas they needed to focus on in their programming and the specific needs of each child.

• Many sites also noted that the results were helpful for lesson planning and provided ideas for types of activities to incorporate into their programming.

In addition to supporting program evaluation, the Brigance Screen can be useful for local AHSUNC sites. By assessing a variety of skills, the screen can help teachers identify potential learning challenges, strengths and weaknesses.

It can also help site staff decide if a child should be referred for further assessment or if some participants could benefit from additional support in certain areas.

Finally, the screen can be used to help plan lessons, to measure progress throughout the year, or for discussions with parents and caregivers about their child’s development.
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